



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,244	01/14/2002	Juho Jumppanen	15208	5900
7590	02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,244	JUMPPANEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Krishnan S Menon	1723

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 21 January 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

see attachment

Krishnan Menon
Patent Examiner

Attachment to PTOL-462: Notice of Non-compliance

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application. Claim 9 is objected to as being depended on a rejected claim but indicated as having allowable subject matter. Claims 1-8, 10 and 11 are on appeal.

The appeal brief received on 1/21/05 is acknowledged. The following defects were identified in this appeal brief:

1. The final rejection on 8/10/04 includes a 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection of claim 11, lack of antecedent basis on "or extraction vessel". Applicant has not addressed this issue in the appeal brief.
2. The appeal brief, under the title 'REJECTION ON APPEAL' states "claims 8 and 9 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as unpatentable over JP 994 in view of Perry, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Chromecek,...". This is erroneous because claim 9 was objected as being dependent on a rejected claim, but indicated as having allowable subject matter in the first action on the RCE of 3/19/04. Applicant acknowledges this fact in the section on 'status of claims'. There is only one ground for rejection of all pending rejected claims, and claim 8 is included with that group.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan Menon
Patent Examiner


W.L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700